



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/963,848	09/25/2001	Ronald G. French	509152000500	9332		
25226	7590 03/20/2003		No. of the second	% <u></u>		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER			
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018		•	CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3738	3738		
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A		A	M.x			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	nut			
Offic Acti n Summan	09/963,848	•	FRENCH ET AL.				
Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE Sabia communication con	Urmi Chattopadh	• •	3738	Idrana			
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rresp ndence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2001</u> .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-95</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-95 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-90 and 93-95, drawn to a pericardial reinforcement and method for reinforcing the pericardium therewith, classified in class 623, subclass 23.65.
- II. Claims 91-92, drawn to a method for reinforcing the pericardium, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. The method of Group II does not require the pericardial reinforcement member to include an interior surface tending to inhibit adhesions with the epicardium.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3738

This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species and subspecies of the claimed invention. If applicant elects Group I, applicant must elect one subspecies from each 1-90, 93-95 species as follows:

- 1) Device Configuration
 - a. Figure 7
 - (b. Figure 8
 - c. Figure 9
 - d. Figures 10A-10B
 - e. Figures 11A-11B
 - f. Figure 12
 - g. Figure 13
 - h. Figure 14
 - i. Figures 16A-17B
 - j. Figure 18
- 2) Webbing
 - a. none
 - b. Figure 15A
 - c. Figure 15B
 - d. Figure 15C
 - e. Figure 15D
- 3) Device Size Adjuster

Art Unit: 3738

- b. Figure 19
- c. Figures 20A-20B
- d. Figure 21
- 4) Device Surface Material
 - a. Figure 3B (woven)
 - (b.) Figure 4B (non-woven)
- 5) Mechanical Linkage
 - (a.) none
 - b. Figure 5
 - i) suture
 - ii) staple
 - iii) blind rivet
 - c. Figure 6
- 6) Device Layer(s)
 - a. Figure 3A (single layer)
 - b. Figure 4A (double layer)
 - i) separate layers
 - (ii) laminated together layers

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed subspecies under each species [ex. 1(c), 2(b), 3(d), 4(a), 5(c), 6(b)(ii)] for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant must

Art Unit: 3738

make sure that the elected subspecies for each species is disclosed in the specification as being combinable in an embodiment. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of **all claims** readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to E. Thomas Wheelock on 3/13/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Urmi Chattopadhyay whose telephone number is (703) 308-8510 and whose work schedule is Monday-Friday, 9:00am – 6:30pm with every other Friday off. The examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, may be reached at (703) 308-2111. The group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0858.

Should the applicant wish to send a fax for official entry into the file wrapper the Group fax number is (703) 305-3590. Should applicant wish to send a fax for discussion purposes only, the art unit fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit 3738

uc

March 13, 2003